



Recycling Steering Committee

Modernizing Oregon's recycling system with support from Oregon Consensus

Legal and Relational Framework Subcommittee Meeting

September 12, 2019

11 a.m. – 1 p.m.

Webinar: <https://zoom.us/j/2348602747>

Phone: 1 (669) 900-6833 Meeting ID: 2348602747#

Proposed agenda

Purpose of meeting

- Update subcommittee on contract phase of the project.
- Inform and receive feedback on frameworks Resource Recycling Systems (RRS) will evaluate.
- Inform and receive feedback on deliverables timeline associated with frameworks research.

11 a.m. Provide housekeeping and frame for the day

11:15 a.m. Update on contract phase of the project

OBJECTIVES

- Subcommittee members are informed of contract phase of the project.

11:20 a.m. Recycling system frameworks to be evaluated by RRS

OBJECTIVES

- Subcommittee members are informed of, and have provided feedback on, frameworks to be evaluated.
- Questions from subcommittee members have been addressed.

12:10 p.m. Deliverables timeline associated with recycling systems framework research

OBJECTIVES

- Subcommittee members are informed of, and have provided feedback on, the timeline of notable meetings and deliverables associated with the project.
- Questions from subcommittee members have been addressed.

12:45 p.m. Wrap up

- Prep for 9/25 Recycling Steering Committee meeting.
- Summarize next steps and any deliverables.

OBJECTIVES

- If needed, homework is determined and deliverables and responsibilities are listed.

1 p.m. Adjourn

The Recycling Steering Committee is a collaborative of representation from the Assoc. of Oregon Counties, Assoc. of Oregon Recyclers, Assoc. of Plastics Recyclers/Denton Plastics, EFI, Far West Recycling, Lane County, League of Oregon Cities, Metro, NORPAC, Oregon Department of Environmental Quality, Oregon Refuse & Recycling Assoc., City of Portland, Recycling Partnership, Rogue Disposal & Recycling, Waste Connections, and Waste Management. **For more information, visit <https://go.usa.gov/xmYYe>.**

Frameworks to be evaluated

1. Local government programs utilizing comprehensive policy and contractual tools (Possible models include: Tompkins County, NY; Alameda county, CA; San Jose, CA; Solid Waste Authority of Lake County, IL)
2. State Contracting / Certifying MRFs (**Onondaga County, NY**)
3. Comprehensive statewide system, including policy, planning and infrastructure (**Vermont**)
4. Sustainable Materials Management Public-Private Authority – infrastructure funding (Possible models include: Resource Productivity and Recovery Authority (RPRA), Ontario, Twin Cities Solid Waste Coordinating Board)
5. Extended producer responsibility for processing / marketing only (Possible models include: Modification of British Columbia, Slovenia)
6. Shared responsibility framework where producers cover a proportion of system costs (**Manitoba**)
7. Full extended producer responsibility system (**British Columbia**)
8. Full extended producer responsibility system with elements to incentivize reduction of upstream impacts / design for recycling (**France**)
9. Full extended producer responsibility system where municipalities deliver services and producers cover costs (**Quebec**)
10. Full extended producer responsibility system with competitive PRO's (**Austria**)
11. Baseline (**Oregon**)